

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/701,782	HUEMOELLER ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Yuriy Semenenko	2841

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Yuriy Semenenko

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Serge J. Hodgson (Reg. No. 40017)

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 15 March 2007
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*A telephone call was made to Mr. Serge J. Hodgson, (Reg. No. 40017) on March 15, 2006. Mr. S. Hodgson confirmed that Applicant did not timely file a proper reply to the Office Action mailed on 06/20/2006.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)